



United States Patent and Trademark Office



NK

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

				_	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/0/7 021				THE TOTAL BOCKET NO.	CONFIGNATION NO.
10/067,021	(02/04/2002	Gary J. Condon	K-1859	4194
7	590	04/08/2003			
Kevin P. Wel	don				
Kennametal Inc.				EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 231 Latrobe, PA 15650				ROSENBAUM, MARK	
Lauobe, PA 1	2020				
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3725	
				DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003	5
					_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/067,02	1	CONDON, GARY J.	()
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Mark Rose		3725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	\$
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no ever within the statu ill apply and will cause the appli	ot, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) day- expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is i	non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims				erits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		sideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	quirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	г.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)☐ o	bjected to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :	•		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	ce action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application. 	reau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).	-	е
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional appl	lication).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-				
Attachment(s)	•	~~		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	



Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-9,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1, line 8 is confusing and should be rewritten. How do claims 5 and 13 structurally limit the claim they depend upon?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3,6,10,11,13,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kottman. This patent shows rotating drums with teeth that have wear inserts; note particularly figure 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4,5,7-9,12,15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kottmann. The limitations of these claims would have been obvious modifications by one of ordinary skill in the art once the basic apparatus was known.

For example, the dimensions of the inserts would depend on several factors such asa material being treated and desired maintenance costs.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 703-308-1788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Ostrager can be reached on 703-308-3136. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Many Land

Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

MR March 24, 2003 Application/Control Number: 10/067,021

Art Unit: 3725

Page 4